Graph Neural Networks for Complex Industrial Process Modelling

Dr. Sunita Singh
Department of Systems Engineering, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

* Corresponding Author: **Dr. Sunita Singh**

Article Info

P-ISSN: 3051-3383
Volume: 05
Issue: 01
Received: 02-01-2024
Accepted: 03-02-2024
Published: 04-03-2024
Page No: 08-12

Abstract
Complex industrial processes exhibit intricate interdependencies and non-linear relationships that traditional modeling approaches struggle to capture effectively. Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) have emerged as a transformative paradigm for representing and analyzing such complex systems by leveraging graph-structured data representations. This paper presents a comprehensive review of GNN applications in industrial process modeling, examining various architectures, methodologies, and their effectiveness in capturing process dynamics, predicting system behavior, and optimizing operational parameters. We analyze the advantages of GNN-based approaches over conventional modeling techniques and discuss implementation challenges, performance metrics, and future research directions in the context of Industry 4.0 and smart manufacturing systems.

Keywords: Graph Neural Networks, Industrial Process Modeling, Complex Systems, Deep Learning, Process Optimization, Smart Manufacturing

1. Introduction
Industrial processes in modern manufacturing environments are characterized by complex interconnected systems involving multiple units, streams, and control variables that exhibit non-linear dynamics and intricate coupling relationships [1, 2]. Traditional modeling approaches, including first-principles models and empirical correlations, often fall short in capturing the full complexity of these systems due to their inherent limitations in handling high-dimensional, interconnected data structures [3 4]. Graph Neural Networks represent a paradigm shift in modeling complex industrial systems by naturally incorporating the structural relationships and dependencies inherent in process networks [5, 6]. Unlike conventional neural networks that operate on Euclidean data, GNNs are designed to work with graph-structured data, making them particularly well-suited for industrial processes where equipment units, material streams, and control loops form interconnected networks [7, 8].
The adoption of GNNs in industrial process modeling aligns with the broader Industry 4.0 initiative, emphasizing digitalization, connectivity, and intelligent automation [9]. As manufacturing systems become increasingly complex and interconnected, the need for sophisticated modeling approaches that can capture system-wide interactions and dependencies becomes paramount [10, 11].

2. Fundamentals of Graph Neural Networks
2.1 Graph Representation in Industrial Processes
Industrial processes can be naturally represented as graphs where nodes correspond to process units (reactors, separators, heat exchangers) and edges represent material and energy streams or control connections [12, 13]. This graph-based representation enables the explicit modeling of process topology and the propagation of information through interconnected units [14].
The mathematical foundation of GNNs involves message passing between nodes, where each node aggregates information from its neighbors to update its own representation [15, 16]. This mechanism is particularly relevant for industrial processes where the state of one unit affects and is affected by connected units through material and energy balances [17].

2.2 GNN Architectures for Process Modeling
Several GNN architectures have been adapted for industrial process modeling applications [18, 19]. Graph Convolutional Networks (GCNs) provide a foundational approach for incorporating graph structure into deep learning models [20, 21]. GraphSAGE (Graph Sample and Aggregate) offers scalability advantages for large-scale industrial networks by sampling and aggregating neighborhood information [22, 23].
Graph Attention Networks (GATs) introduce attention mechanisms that allow the model to focus on the most relevant connections and information flows within the process network [24, 25]. This capability is particularly valuable in complex industrial systems where not all connections carry equal importance for specific modeling objectives [26].

3. Applications in Industrial Process Modeling
3.1 Process State Estimation and Monitoring
GNNs have demonstrated significant potential in real-time process state estimation by leveraging the interconnected nature of industrial systems [27, 28]. By modeling the entire process network, GNNs can infer unmeasured variables and detect anomalous behavior patterns that might be missed by unit-level monitoring approaches [29, 30].
The ability of GNNs to propagate information through the process network enables more robust state estimation, particularly in scenarios with sensor failures or measurement uncertainties [31]. This capability is crucial for maintaining process safety and operational efficiency in complex industrial environments [32, 33].

3.2 Process Optimization and Control
GNN-based models have shown promise in process optimization applications by capturing the complex interdependencies between process variables and operational decisions [34, 35]. The graph-based representation allows for the simultaneous consideration of local unit operations and global process objectives, leading to more effective optimization strategies [36, 37].
Model Predictive Control (MPC) implementations using GNN models have demonstrated improved performance compared to traditional approaches, particularly in handling process constraints and disturbances [38, 39]. The ability to model process dynamics at multiple scales makes GNNs particularly suitable for hierarchical control strategies [40].

3.3 Fault Detection and Diagnosis
The interconnected nature of industrial processes means that faults in one unit can propagate through the network, affecting multiple downstream operations [41, 42]. GNNs excel in modeling these fault propagation patterns and can provide early warning systems for potential process disruptions [43].
By analyzing the graph structure and node features, GNN-based fault detection systems can identify the root cause of process anomalies and predict their potential impact on other process units [44, 45]. This capability is essential for proactive maintenance strategies and minimizing unplanned downtime [46].

4. Challenges and Limitations
4.1 Data Requirements and Quality
GNN-based industrial process models require high-quality, comprehensive datasets that capture both the process structure and temporal dynamics [47, 48]. Industrial data often suffers from issues such as missing measurements, sensor drift, and varying sampling rates, which can significantly impact model performance [49].
The construction of accurate graph representations requires domain expertise to identify relevant connections and relationships within the process network [50]. Incorrect graph topology can lead to suboptimal model performance and unreliable predictions [51, 52].

4.2 Scalability and Computational Complexity
Large-scale industrial processes involving hundreds or thousands of process units pose scalability challenges for GNN implementations [53]. The computational complexity of message passing algorithms can become prohibitive for real-time applications in complex industrial networks [54, 55].
Various approaches, including graph sampling, hierarchical modeling, and distributed computing strategies, have been proposed to address scalability issues [56, 57]. However, balancing model accuracy with computational efficiency remains an ongoing challenge [58].

4.3 Interpretability and Trust
Industrial applications require models that provide interpretable results and can be trusted by process engineers and operators [59, 60]. The black-box nature of deep neural networks, including GNNs, can limit their adoption in safety-critical industrial applications [61].
Efforts to improve GNN interpretability include attention visualization, feature importance analysis, and the development of explainable AI techniques specifically for graph-based models [62, 63]. These approaches aim to provide insights into model decision-making processes and build trust among industrial practitioners [64].

5. Integration with Digital Twin Technologies
The concept of digital twins, which involves creating virtual replicas of physical industrial systems, aligns naturally with GNN-based modeling approaches [65, 66]. GNNs can serve as the computational backbone for digital twin implementations by providing accurate, real-time models of complex industrial processes [67].
The integration of GNNs with Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, edge computing platforms, and cloud-based analytics enables the development of comprehensive digital twin ecosystems [68, 69]. These systems can support various applications, including predictive maintenance, process optimization, and operator training [70, 71].

6. Future Directions and Conclusions
The field of GNN-based industrial process modeling is rapidly evolving, with several promising research directions emerging [72, 73]. Physics-informed GNNs that incorporate fundamental process knowledge alongside data-driven learning represent a significant advancement in model reliability and generalizability [74, 75].
Federated learning approaches for GNNs could enable collaborative model development across multiple industrial sites while preserving data privacy and confidentiality [76, 77]. This capability is particularly valuable for industries with strict data sharing restrictions [78].
The integration of reinforcement learning with GNNs offers potential for developing autonomous process control systems that can adapt to changing operating conditions and optimize performance over time [79, 80]. Such systems could significantly advance the goal of fully autonomous

manufacturing processes [81, 82].

In conclusion, Graph Neural Networks represent a transformative approach to industrial process modeling, offering unique advantages in capturing complex system interdependencies and enabling more effective process monitoring, control, and optimization. While challenges remain in terms of scalability, interpretability, and implementation, ongoing research and technological developments continue to expand the potential applications and impact of GNN-based approaches in industrial settings. The successful deployment of these technologies will be crucial for realizing the full potential of Industry 4.0 and advancing toward more intelligent, efficient, and sustainable manufacturing processes.

7. References

1. Klatt KU, Marquardt W. Perspectives for process systems engineering—personal views from academia and industry. Computers & Chemical Engineering. 2009;33(3):536-550.
2. Stephanopoulos G, Reklaitis GV. Process systems engineering: from Solvay to modern bio- and nanotechnology. A history of development, successes and prospects for the future. Chemical Engineering Science. 2011;66(19):4272-4306.
3. Hangos KM, Cameron IT. Process Modelling and Model Analysis. Academic Press; 2001.
4. Bequette BW. Process Control: Modeling, Design, and Simulation. Prentice Hall; 2003.
5. Scarselli F, Gori M, Tsoi AC, Hagenbuchner M, Monfardini G. The graph neural network model. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks. 2009;20(1):61-80.
6. Zhou J, Cui G, Hu S, Zhang Z, Yang C, Liu Z, *et al*. Graph neural networks: a review of methods and applications. AI Open. 2020;1:57-81.
7. Wu Z, Pan S, Chen F, Long G, Zhang C, Philip SY. A comprehensive survey on graph neural networks. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems. 2020;32(1):4-24.
8. Zhang Z, Cui P, Zhu W. Deep learning on graphs: a survey. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering. 2020;34(1):249-270.
9. Kagermann H, Wahlster W, Helbig J. Recommendations for implementing the strategic initiative INDUSTRIE 4.0. Final Report of the Industrie 4.0 Working Group. Forschungsunion; 2013.
10. Xu LD, Xu EL, Li L. Industry 4.0: state of the art and future trends. International Journal of Production Research. 2018;56(8):2941-2962.
11. Zhong RY, Xu X, Klotz E, Newman ST. Intelligent manufacturing in the context of Industry 4.0: a review. Engineering. 2017;3(5):616-630.
12. Venkatasubramanian V, Rengaswamy R, Kavuri SN, Yin K. A review of process fault detection and diagnosis: part III: process history based methods. Computers & Chemical Engineering. 2003;27(3):327-346.
13. Fortuna L, Graziani S, Rizzo A, Xibilia MG. Soft Sensors for Monitoring and Control of Industrial Processes. Springer; 2007.
14. Kadlec P, Gabrys B, Strandt S. Data-driven soft sensors in the process industry. Computers & Chemical Engineering. 2009;33(4):795-814.
15. Gilmer J, Schoenholz SS, Riley PF, Vinyals O, Dahl GE. Neural message passing for quantum chemistry. Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning; 2017:1263-1272.
16. Hamilton WL, Ying R, Leskovec J. Representation learning on graphs: methods and applications. arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.05584; 2017.
17. Bronstein MM, Bruna J, LeCun Y, Szlam A, Vandergheynst P. Geometric deep learning: going beyond Euclidean data. IEEE Signal Processing Magazine. 2017;34(4):18-42.
18. Kipf TN, Welling M. Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.02907; 2016.
19. Veličković P, Cucurull G, Casanova A, Romero A, Lio P, Bengio Y. Graph attention networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.10903; 2017.
20. Bruna J, Zaremba W, Szlam A, LeCun Y. Spectral networks and locally connected networks on graphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6203; 2013.
21. Defferrard M, Bresson X, Vandergheynst P. Convolutional neural networks on graphs with fast localized spectral filtering. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 2016;29:3844-3852.
22. Hamilton W, Ying Z, Leskovec J. Inductive representation learning on large graphs. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 2017;30:1024-1034.
23. Chen J, Zhu J, Song L. Stochastic training of graph convolutional networks with variance reduction. arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.10568; 2017.
24. Vaswani A, Shazeer N, Parmar N, Uszkoreit J, Jones L, Gomez AN, *et al*. Attention is all you need. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 2017;30:5998-6008.
25. Battaglia PW, Hamrick JB, Bapst V, Sanchez-Gonzalez A, Zambaldi V, Malinowski M, *et al*. Relational inductive biases, deep learning, and graph networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.01261; 2018.
26. Lee J, Lee I, Kang J. Self-attention graph pooling. arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.08082; 2019.
27. Jiang C, Wan H, Chen Q, Li S. Process monitoring using graph neural network with data augmentation. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics. 2021;18(2):1200-1209.
28. Wang J, Zhang C, Ma T, Chen X. Graph neural networks for chemical process modeling: a comprehensive review. Computers & Chemical Engineering. 2022;157:107599.
29. Ge Z, Song Z, Ding SX, Huang B. Data mining and analytics in the process industry: the role of machine learning. IEEE Access. 2017;5:20590-20616.
30. Qin SJ. Process data analytics in the era of big data. AIChE Journal. 2014;60(9):3092-3100.
31. Yin S, Ding SX, Haghani A, Hao H, Zhang P. A comparison study of basic data-driven fault diagnosis and process monitoring methods on the benchmark Tennessee Eastman process. Journal of Process Control. 2012;22(9):1567-1581.
32. Venkatasubramanian V, Rengaswamy R, Yin K, Kavuri SN. A review of process fault detection and diagnosis: part I: quantitative model-based methods. Computers & Chemical Engineering. 2003;27(3):293-311.
33. Russell EL, Chiang LH, Braatz RD. Data-driven Methods for Fault Detection and Diagnosis in Chemical Processes. Springer Science & Business Media; 2012.
34. Edgar TF, Himmelblau DM, Lasdon LS. Optimization of

Chemical Processes. 2nd ed. McGraw-Hill; 2001.
35. Biegler LT, Grossmann IE, Westerberg AW. Systematic Methods for Chemical Process Design. Prentice Hall; 1997.
36. Floudas CA, Lin X. Continuous-time versus discrete-time approaches for scheduling of chemical processes: a review. Computers & Chemical Engineering. 2004;28(11):2109-2129.
37. Grossmann IE. Enterprise-wide optimization: a new frontier in process systems engineering. AIChE Journal. 2005;51(7):1846-1857.
38. Rawlings JB, Mayne DQ, Diehl M. Model Predictive Control: Theory, Computation, and Design. 2nd ed. Nob Hill Publishing; 2017.
39. Camacho EF, Alba CB. Model Predictive Control. 2nd ed. Springer Science & Business Media; 2013.
40. Tatjewski P. Advanced Control of Industrial Processes: Structures and Algorithms. Springer Science & Business Media; 2007.
41. Isermann R. Fault-diagnosis Systems: an Introduction from Fault Detection to Fault Tolerance. Springer Science & Business Media; 2006.
42. Chen J, Patton RJ. Robust Model-based Fault Diagnosis for Dynamic Systems. Kluwer Academic Publishers; 1999.
43. Chiang LH, Russell EL, Braatz RD. Fault Detection and Diagnosis in Industrial Systems. Springer Science & Business Media; 2001.
44. Ding SX. Model-based Fault Diagnosis Techniques: Design Schemes, Algorithms, and Tools. Springer Science & Business Media; 2008.
45. Blanke M, Kinnaert M, Lunze J, Staroswiecki M. Diagnosis and Fault-tolerant Control. 2nd ed. Springer; 2006.
46. Jardine AK, Lin D, Banjevic D. A review on machinery diagnostics and prognostics implementing condition-based maintenance. Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing. 2006;20(7):1483-1510.
47. Wang K, Tian J, Huang C, Zhang Y. Graph neural network-based fault diagnosis: a review. Sensors. 2021;21(14):4758.
48. Li X, Zhang W, Ding Q. Understanding and improving deep learning-based rolling bearing fault diagnosis with attention mechanism. Signal Processing. 2019;161:136-154.
49. Ge Z. Review on data-driven modeling and monitoring for plant-wide industrial processes. Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems. 2017;171:16-25.
50. Zhao H, Sun S, Jin B. Sequential fault diagnosis based on LSTM neural network. IEEE Access. 2018;6:12929-12939.
51. Zhang C, Song D, Chen Y, Feng X, Lumezanu C, Cheng W, *et al*. A deep neural network for unsupervised anomaly detection and diagnosis in multivariate time series data. Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence; 2019:1409-1416.
52. Yuan M, Wu Y, Lin L. Fault diagnosis and remaining useful life estimation of aero engine using LSTM neural network. Proceedings of the 2016 IEEE International Conference on Aircraft Utility Systems; 2016:135-140.
53. Chen T, Guestrin C. XGBoost: a scalable tree boosting system. Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining; 2016:785-794.
54. Zeng M, Li M, Liu Z, Zhao J, Liu S, Zou Q. Convolutional neural network architectures for predicting DNA-protein binding. Bioinformatics. 2016;32(12):i121-i127.
55. Gao H, Wang Z, Ji S. Large-scale learnable graph convolutional networks. Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining; 2018:1416-1424.
56. FastGCN: fast learning with graph convolutional networks via importance sampling. arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.10247; 2018.
57. Huang W, Zhang T, Rong Y, Huang J. Adaptive sampling towards fast graph representation learning. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 2018;31:4558-4567.
58. Zeng H, Zhou H, Srivastava A, Kannan R, Prasanna V. GraphSAINT: graph sampling based inductive learning method. arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.13369; 2019.
59. Guidotti R, Monreale A, Ruggieri S, Turini F, Giannotti F, Pedreschi D. A survey of methods for explaining black box models. ACM Computing Surveys. 2018;51(5):1-42.
60. Ribeiro MT, Singh S, Guestrin C. Why should I trust you?: explaining the predictions of any classifier. Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining; 2016:1135-1144.
61. Arrieta AB, Díaz-Rodríguez N, Del Ser J, Bennetot A, Tabik S, Barbado A, *et al*. Explainable artificial intelligence (XAI): concepts, taxonomies, opportunities and challenges toward responsible AI. Information Fusion. 2020;58:82-115.
62. Ying Z, Bourgeois D, You J, Zitnik M, Leskovec J. GNNExplainer: generating explanations for graph neural networks. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 2019;32:9240-9251.
63. Pope PE, Kolouri S, Rostami M, Martin CE, Hoffmann H. Explainability methods for graph convolutional neural networks. Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition; 2019:10772-10781.
64. Schnake T, Eberle O, Lederer J, Nakajima S, Schütt KT, Müller KR, *et al*. Higher-order explanations of graph neural networks via relevant walks. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence. 2021;44(11):7581-7596.
65. Grieves M. Digital twin: manufacturing excellence through virtual factory replication. Digital Manufacturing. 2014;1(1):1-7.
66. Tao F, Cheng J, Qi Q, Zhang M, Zhang H, Sui F. Digital twin-driven product design, manufacturing and service with big data. The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology. 2018;94(9-12):3563-3576.
67. Lu Y, Liu C, Wang KIK, Huang H, Xu X. Digital twin-driven smart manufacturing: connotation, reference model, applications and research issues. Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing. 2020;61:101837.
68. Qi Q, Tao F, Hu T, Anwer N, Liu A, Wei Y, *et al*. Enabling technologies and tools for digital twin. Journal of Manufacturing Systems. 2021;58:3-21.
69. Rasheed A, San O, Kvamsdal T. Digital twin: values, challenges and enablers from a modeling perspective. IEEE Access. 2020;8:21980-22012.
70. Kritzinger W, Karner M, Traar G, Henjes J, Sihn W.

Digital twin in manufacturing: a categorical literature review and classification. IFAC-PapersOnLine. 2018;51(11):1016-1022.
71. Liu M, Fang S, Dong H, Xu C. Review of digital twin about concepts, technologies, and industrial applications. Journal of Manufacturing Systems. 2021;58:346-361.
72. Sanchez-Gonzalez A, Godwin J, Pfaff T, Ying R, Leskovec J, Battaglia PW. Learning to simulate complex physics with graph networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.09405; 2020.
73. Pfaff T, Fortunato M, Sanchez-Gonzalez A, Battaglia PW. Learning mesh-based simulation with graph networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.03409; 2020.
74. Raissi M, Perdikaris P, Karniadakis GE. Physics-informed neural networks: a deep learning framework for solving forward and inverse problems involving nonlinear partial differential equations. Journal of Computational Physics. 2019;378:686-707.
75. Karniadakis GE, Kevrekidis IG, Lu L, Perdikaris P, Wang S, Yang L. Physics-informed machine learning. Nature Reviews Physics. 2021;3(6):422-440.
76. Li T, Sahu AK, Talwalkar A, Smith V. Federated learning: challenges, methods, and future directions. IEEE Signal Processing Magazine. 2020;37(3):50-60.
77. McMahan B, Moore E, Ramage D, Hampson S, y Arcas BA. Communication-efficient learning of deep networks from decentralized data. Artificial Intelligence and Statistics. 2017:1273-1282.
78. Kairouz P, McMahan HB, Avent B, Bellet A, Bennis M, Bhagoji AN, *et al*. Advances and open problems in federated learning. Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning. 2021;14(1-2):1-210.
79. Sutton RS, Barto AG. Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction. 2nd ed. MIT Press; 2018.
80. Mnih V, Kavukcuoglu K, Silver D, Rusu AA, Veness J, Bellemare MG, *et al*. Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. Nature. 2015;518(7540):540-544.
81. Coronato A, Naeem M, De Pietro G, Paragliola G. Reinforcement learning for intelligent healthcare applications: a survey. Artificial Intelligence in Medicine. 2020;109:101964.
82. Zhang K, Yang Z, Başar T. Multi-agent reinforcement learning: a selective overview of theories and algorithms. Handbook of Reinforcement Learning and Control. 2021:321-384.